Newry, Mourne and Down Council

**Applications for Planning Permission** 

and

Applications deferred from previous meetings

8/3/16

Council Newry, Mourne and Down		Da	ate 8/3/16			
ITEM NO	1					
APPLIC NO	LA07/2015/0079/F		Full	DATE VAI	L <b>ID</b> 3/30/	/15
COUNCIL OPINION	REFUSAL					
APPLICANT	Anne-Marie O'Higgi Marguerite Avenue Newcastle BT33 0PF	ns 27		AGENT		
					NA	
LOCATION	Ground Floor Unit 8 Central Promenade Newcastle					
PROPOSAL	Change of use of Gro	und Floor Un	its from Retail to	o Café/Restau	urant	
REPRESENTATIONS	OBJ Letters	SUP Letters	OBJ Pe	etitions	SUP P	etitions
	0	0	(	)	1	0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup> The application is unacceptable as insufficient information has been submitted to enable the Authority to make an informed decision on the proposal.

ITEM NO	2			
APPLIC NO	LA07/2015/0090/F	Full	DATE VALID	4/9/15
COUNCIL OPINION	REFUSAL			
APPLICANT	A J Coaches 49 Ballyveaghbeg Road Ballymartin		AGENT	Glyn Mitchell Architectural Design 139 Ballinran Road Kilkeel BT34 4JB
				02841769748

**LOCATION** 49 Ballyveaghbeg Road

Ballymartin

**PROPOSAL** Retrospective extension of curtilage and change of use of land to facilitate parking of

vehicles in relation to a coach hire business and proposed improved entrance and

new stone wall boundary

REPRESENTATIONS	OBJ Letters	SUP Letters	OBJ Petitions		SUP P	etitions
	0	0	0		(	0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

- The proposal is contrary to paragraph 6.87 of the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland and Policy PED2 of Planning Policy Statement 4, Planning and Economic Development, in that the site lies in the open countryside, the development does not meet the criteria where economic development uses in the countryside will be permitted, and no exceptional circumstances have been demonstrated.
- Having notified the applicant under Article 3 (6) of the Planning (General Development Procedure) Order (Northern Ireland) 2015 that an application for a Certificate of Lawfulness is required to establish the use of this site, and having not received such an application, the Council refuses this application as it is the opinion of the Council that a Certificate of Lawfulness is material to the determination of this application.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY1 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that there are no overriding reasons why this development is essential in this rural location and could not be located within a settlement.

ITEM NO	3					
APPLIC NO	LA07/2015/0130/F		Full	DATE VA	L <b>ID</b> 4/3/1	5
COUNCIL OPINION	REFUSAL					
APPLICANT	Calmor Properties Agent	Ltd C/O		AGENT	Ltd. ( Drun Rath Co. [	nlough Road friland Down 1 5DP
LOCATION	Lindsay's Hill Approximately 60m S Newry	South East of 5	3-55 North Str	reet		
PROPOSAL	Non compliance with housing occupancy of		P/2011/0340/F	- application	to remove so	ocial
REPRESENTATIONS	<b>OBJ Letters</b>	SUP Letters	OBJ Po	etitions	SUP P	etitions
	0	0	(	כ		0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

The proposal is contrary to Policy QD 1 (Criteria f) of Planning Policy Statement 7, Quality Residential Environments, in that the approved layout cannot accommodate the level of car parking provision required for a private housing development, so the scheme would not be a quality and sustainable residential environment.

ITEM NO	4					
APPLIC NO	LA07/2015/016	61/F	Full	DATE VAI	L <b>ID</b> 3/27/	15
COUNCIL OPINION	APPROVAL					
APPLICANT	Mr E Lennon	C/O Agent		AGENT	87 C Prom New	n Architects entral nenade rcastle 3 0HH
					NA	
LOCATION	35 Central Prome Newcastle	enade				
PROPOSAL	Apartment Buildir	ng with 14 Units, 3.	5 storey with re	etail spaces a	nd car parkin	g.
REPRESENTATIONS	<b>OBJ Letters</b>	SUP Letters	OBJ Pe	etitions	SUP P	etitions
	8	0	(	)	(	0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

**ITEM NO** Full APPLIC NO LA07/2015/0402/F **DATE VALID** 6/1/15 **COUNCIL OPINION REFUSAL APPLICANT** Mr Glyn Mitchell O'Hagan **AGENT** Construction Ltd 63 Newry Road Rathfriland BT34 5AL NA **LOCATION** Opp and 25m E of No 16 Chancellors Hall Chancellors Road

Newry Co Down

**PROPOSAL** Proposed erection of dwelling

REPRESENTATIONS	<b>OBJ Letters</b>	SUP Letters	<b>OBJ Petitions</b>		SUP P	etitions
	0	0	0		(	0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

- 1 The proposal is contrary to Policy QD1 of the Department of the Environment's Planning Policy Statement 7, Quality Residential Environments, criterion (a) and (h), in that the applicant has failed to demonstrate that the proposal would create a quality residential environment and that the proposal would, if permitted, adversely affect the amenity of adjoining residents by reason of overlooking.
- 2 The proposal is contrary to Policy LC1 of the Addendum to Planning Policy Statement 7 on Safeguarding the Character of Residential Areas, criterion (b), in that the proposal does not respect the existing settlement pattern in the area.

ITEM NO	6			
APPLIC NO	LA07/2015/0511/O	Outline	DATE VALID	6/9/15
COUNCIL OPINION	REFUSAL			
APPLICANT	Peter McEvoy 147 Rathfriland Road Newry BT34 1PQ		AGENT	Karl J Sherry 103 Rostrevor Road Hilltown Newry BT34 5TZ
				NA

**LOCATION** Adjacent to and immediately north of 147 Rathfriland Road

Newry Co Down BT34 1PQ

**PROPOSAL** Dwelling and detached garage

REPRESENTATIONS	OBJ Letters	SUP Letters	OBJ Petitions		SUP P	etitions
	0	0	0		(	0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

- The proposal is contrary to Policies CTY1 and CTY2a (New Dwellings in Existing Clusters) of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that the proposed site is not bounded on at least two sides with other development in the cluster and the development does not represent rounding off or consolidation of the cluster, but would visually intrude into the open countryside.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY14 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that the development would result in a suburban style build-up of development when viewed with existing buildings and would therefore further erode the rural character of the area.

ITEM NO	7			
APPLIC NO	LA07/2015/0546/F	Full	DATE VALID	6/30/15
COUNCIL OPINION	REFUSAL			
APPLICANT	Jane Magee 4 Ballycotton Kilclief Strangford BT30 7NX		AGENT	Tumelty Planning Services 11 Ballyalton Park Ardmeen Downpatrick BT30 7BT
				07767057822

**LOCATION** Approx 70m South East 71 Ardglass Road

Ballyhornan Downpatrick

#### **PLANNING (NI) ORDER 1991**

#### APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

**PROPOSAL** 

Retention of building with alterations to be used as farm shed and animal handling facility in substitution for agricultural building granted permission under R/2007/1021/F. (additional information)

REPRESENTATIONS	<b>OBJ Letters</b>	SUP Letters	<b>OBJ Petitions</b>		SUP P	etitions
	6	0	0		0	
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

- The proposal is contrary to CTY 1 of Planning Policy Statement 21 Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that it has not been demonstrated that there is an extant approval under R/2007/1021/F to substitute.
- The proposal is contrary to policy CTY12 of Planning Policy Statement 21 Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that it has not been demonstrated that holding is active and the proposal is:-
  - necessary for the efficient use of the agricultural holding or forestry enterprise;
  - that its character and scale it is appropriate to its location;
  - that it visually integrates into the local landscape and additional landscaping is provided as necessary:
  - that it it will not have an adverse impact on the natural or built heritage;
  - that it will not result in detrimental impact on the amenity of residential dwellings outside the holding or enterprise including potential problems arising from noise, smell and pollution.
  - there are no suitable existing buildings on the holding or enterprise that can be used;
  - the proposal is sited beside existing farm or forestry buildings.
  - it is essential for the efficient functioning of the business; or
  - there are demonstrable health and safety reasons.
- The proposal is contrary to policy CTY13 of Planning Policy Statement 21 Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that the building will be a prominent feature in the landscape and the site lacks long established natural boundaries or is unable to provide a suitable degree of enclosure for the building to integrate into the landscape.
- The proposal is contrary to policy CTY14 of Planning Policy Statement 21 Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that the development would if permitted result in a detrimental change to the rural character of this area due to its prominent roadside location.

ITEM NO	8			
APPLIC NO	LA07/2015/0639/F	Full	DATE VALID	7/15/15
<b>COUNCIL OPINION</b>	REFUSAL			
APPLICANT	Mr and Mrs P McMillan 22 Rosemount Crescent Newtownabbey BT37 ONH		AGENT	8a Architects Ltd 8a Catherine Street Killyleagh BT30 9QQ
				NA

LOCATION Site 45metres East of 55 Rossglass Road Killough

### PLANNING (NI) ORDER 1991

#### APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

- 1. The proposal is contrary to the SPPS and Policies CTY1 and CTY3 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside,
  - -in that all external structural walls are not substantially intact.
  - the overall size of the proposed replacement dwelling would have a visual impact significantly greater than the existing building,
  - the design of the proposed replacement dwelling is not of high quality appropriate to its rural setting and
- 2 2. The proposal is contrary to the SPPS and Policies CTY1 and CTY4 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that the building to be a locally important building.
- 3 3. The proposal is contrary to the SPPS and Policies CTY13 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that
  - (a)it is a prominent feature in the landscape;
  - (b)the site lacks long established natural boundaries or is unable to provide a suitable degree of enclosure for the building to integrate into the landscape;
  - (c)it relies primarily on the use of new landscaping for integration;
  - (d)ancillary works do not integrate with their surroundings;
  - (e)the design of the building is inappropriate for the site and its locality; and
  - (f)it fails to blend with the landform, existing trees, buildings, slopes and other natural features which provide a backdrop.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY14 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that it is
  - (a) unduly prominent in the landscape;
  - (b) results in a suburban style build-up of development when viewed with existing and approved buildings; and
  - (e) the impact of ancillary works (with the exception of necessary visibility splays) would damage rural character.
- The proposal is contrary to the SPPS 2015 and Planning Policy Statement 2 Natural Heritage policy NH6 Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty in that the proposal is inappropriate in terms of design; size and scale for the locality and is not sympathetic to the special character of the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty in general and of the particular locality.

**ITEM NO** Full APPLIC NO DATE VALID LA07/2015/0800/F 8/20/15 **COUNCIL OPINION REFUSAL APPLICANT** Ponsa Limited 18 Ballyardle **AGENT** Road Cranfield Kilkeel BT34 4JX NA LOCATION Lands 20m SE of 51-69 Windmill Road Cranfield Kilkeel PROPOSAL Construction of rock armour revetment sea defence to provide protection to the access road and 8 properties from storm damage OR I Letters CUR Letter

REPRESENTATIONS	OBJ Letters	SUP Letters	OBJ Petitions		SUP P	etitions
	0	1	0		(	0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

- The proposal is contrary to paragraphs 3.13 and 6.42 of the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland (SPPS) in that this area of the coast is known to be at risk from coastal erosion and this type of 'hard' sea defence is likely to exacerbate the coastal erosion problem on this coastline by accelerating the removal of sand offshore and the rate of retreat alongshore.
- The proposal is contrary to paragraph 6.176 of the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland and Policy NH1 of Planning Policy Statement 2, Natural Heritage, in that the site lies adjacent to a proposed Special Protection Area / Ramsar Site (Carlingford Lough extension) and it has not been demonstrated that the proposal will not have a likely significant effect on the protected habitat which is a foraging ground for terns.
- The proposal is contrary to paragraph 6.183 of the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland and Policy NH3 of Planning Policy Statement 2, Natural Heritage, in that the site lies adjacent to Carlingford Lough ASSI and the proposal is likely to have an adverse effect on the population of terns within the ASSI.
- The proposal is contrary to paragraph 6.192 of the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland and Policy NH5 of Planning Policy Statement 2, Natural Heritage, in that the structure is likely to result in damage to a priority habitat (1110, sandbanks, slightly covered at all times by the sea) as defined in Annex 1 of the EU Habitats Directive.

ITEM NO	10					
APPLIC NO	LA07/2015/08	42/O	Outline	DATE VAI	L <b>ID</b> 8/21/	15
COUNCIL OPINION	REFUSAL					
APPLICANT	Mr G Reavey Road Dromara BT25 2JN	91 Castlewellan		AGENT	21 B Road Lisb	
					075109	98821
LOCATION	66 Drin Road Drin Dromara BT25 2LE					
PROPOSAL	Site for replacem building as outbu	nent dwelling, garage uilding	e and associa	ted site works	and retention	n of old
REPRESENTATIONS	<b>OBJ Letters</b>	SUP Letters	OBJ Po	etitions	SUP P	etitions
	0	0	(	)	(	0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

The proposal is contrary to the Strategic Planning Policy Statement 2015 and Policies CTY1 and CTY3 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, as the dwelling which it is proposed to replace makes an important contribution to the heritage, appearance and character of this area and is capable of being made structurally sound and improved.

**ITEM NO DATE VALID APPLIC NO** LA07/2015/1153/O Outline 11/5/15 **COUNCIL OPINION** REFUSAL APPLICANT Ronan Turley **AGENT** Architectural Design Service 20 Upper Burren Road Burren Warrenpoint **BT34 3PT** 07725917253 LOCATION Adjacent to and 20m east of 73 Newry Road Mayobridge Newry **PROPOSAL** Erection of infill dwelling with detached garage. **SUP Letters** REPRESENTATIONS OBJ Letters **OBJ Petitions SUP Petitions** 0 n 0 0

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY1 and Policy CTY8 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the proposal is not an exception to policy and would not respect the development pattern along the frontage in terms of site frontage width, there is accompanying development to the rear and the site reads as a substantial visual break within the local landscape.

0

Addresses Signatures Addresses Signatures

0

0

0

- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY13 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that the proposed building is a prominent feature in the landscape and the proposed building relies primarily on the use of new landscaping for integration.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY14 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the building would, if permitted, be unduly prominent in the landscape and would not respect the traditional pattern of settlement exhibited in the area.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy AMP3 of Planning Policy Statement 3, Access, Movement and Parking in that the proposal will create the intensification of the use of an access to a protected route which is not an exception to policy.

ITEM NO	12					
APPLIC NO	LA07/2015/116	7/F	Full	DATE VAI	L <b>ID</b> 11/10	0/15
COUNCIL OPINION	REFUSAL					
APPLICANT	Brendan and SI and Gregory 8 Damoiley Armagh BT60 2AF	naron O'Gorman 2 Corran Road		AGENT	43 N Silve New	es A Murphy ew Road erbridge /ry 5 9NB
					028308	888214
LOCATION	80 metres Northea Crossmaglen Newry BT35 9BH	ast of 84 Kiltybane	Road			
PROPOSAL	Replacement Dwe	elling and new gara	age			
REPRESENTATIONS	OBJ Letters	SUP Letters	OBJ P	etitions	SUP P	etitions
	0	0	(	0		0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

The proposal is contrary to Policies CTY1 and CTY3 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that there is no structure that exhibits the essential characteristics of a dwelling and all external structural walls are not substantially intact.

ITEM NO	13				
APPLIC NO	LA07/2015/1317	7/0	Outline	DATE VALID	12/11/15
<b>COUNCIL OPINION</b>	REFUSAL				
APPLICANT	Paul and Dianne Conway Park Mullaghbawn Newry BT35 9TS	e Kelly 39		AGENT	John Richardson 9a Shanecracken Road Markethill BT60 1TS 07752598165
LOCATION	25m South of 162 Jerrettspass Newry	Tandragee Road			
PROPOSAL	1 No. infill 1.5 store	ey dwelling and gara	age		
REPRESENTATIONS	OBJ Letters	SUP Letters	OBJ Pet	itions	SUP Petitions
	0	0	0		0

The proposal is contrary to the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland and policy CTY1 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that there are no overriding reasons why this development is essential in this rural location and could not be located within a settlement.

Addresses Signatures Addresses Signatures

- The proposal is contrary to the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland and policy CTY8 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the proposal does not constitute a small gap site sufficient only to accommodate up to a maximum of two houses within an otherwise substantial and continuously built up frontage and would, if permitted, result in the creation of ribbon development along the Tandragee Road.
- The proposal is contrary to the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland and to policy CTY13 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that the proposed site is unable to provide a suitable degree of enclosure for the building to integrate into the landscape and relies primarily on the use of new landscaping for integration and therefore would not visually integrate into the surrounding landscape.
- The proposal is contrary to the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland and policy CTY14 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the dwelling would, if permitted result in a suburban style build-up of development when viewed with existing and approved buildings and the dwelling would, if permitted create a ribbon of development and would therefore result in a detrimental change to the rural character of the countryside.

ITEM NO					
ITEM NO	14				
APPLIC NO	LA07/2016/0036	Έ	Full	DATE VALI	<b>D</b> 1/8/16
COUNCIL OPINION	REFUSAL				
APPLICANT	Mrs Bronagh Sm Demesne Cresce Downpatrick BT30 6WA			AGENT	PTB Architecture 23 Greenwood Hil Belfast BT8 7WP
					07714702570
LOCATION	17 Demesne Cresc Downpatrick BT30 6WA	ent			
PROPOSAL	Proposed rear exte	nsion to a dwellir	ng		
REPRESENTATIONS	<b>OBJ Letters</b>	SUP Letters	OBJ Pe	etitions	SUP Petitions
	0	0	(	)	0
			Addresses	Signatures A	Addresses Signatures
			0	0	0 0
ITEM NO	loss of privacy to nei	J J F - F -	•		
	15				
APPLIC NO	1 <b>5</b> LA07/2016/0175	/F	Full	DATE VALI	<b>D</b> 2/5/16
_		/F	Full	DATE VALI	<b>D</b> 2/5/16
APPLIC NO	LA07/2016/0175		Full	DATE VALI	J A Murphy Chartered Engineer 43 New Road Silverbridge Newry BT35 9NB
APPLIC NO COUNCIL OPINION	LA07/2016/0175/ REFUSAL  Dermott White Jonesborough Newry		Full		J A Murphy Chartered Engineer 43 New Road Silverbridge Newry
APPLIC NO COUNCIL OPINION APPLICANT	LA07/2016/0175/ REFUSAL  Dermott White Jonesborough Newry	I2 Molly Road			J A Murphy Chartered Engineer 43 New Road Silverbridge Newry BT35 9NB
APPLIC NO COUNCIL OPINION APPLICANT	LA07/2016/0175/ REFUSAL  Dermott White Jonesborough Newry BT35 8HY  80 metres North Woodlesborough Newry	I2 Molly Road est of 15 Molly R	oad		J A Murphy Chartered Engineer 43 New Road Silverbridge Newry BT35 9NB
APPLIC NO COUNCIL OPINION APPLICANT  LOCATION	LA07/2016/0175/ REFUSAL  Dermott White Jonesborough Newry BT35 8HY  80 metres North W Jonesborough Newry BT35 8HY	I2 Molly Road est of 15 Molly R	oad	AGENT	J A Murphy Chartered Engineer 43 New Road Silverbridge Newry BT35 9NB
APPLIC NO COUNCIL OPINION APPLICANT  LOCATION  PROPOSAL	REFUSAL  Dermott White Jonesborough Newry BT35 8HY  80 metres North W Jonesborough Newry BT35 8HY  Replacement dwell	12 Molly Road est of 15 Molly Ro	oad	AGENT	J A Murphy Chartered Engineer 43 New Road Silverbridge Newry BT35 9NB 02830888214

0	0	0	0

- The proposal is contrary to the SPPS and Policies CTY1 and CTY3 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that
  - there is no structure that exhibits the essential characteristics of a dwelling:
  - the proposed replacement dwelling is not sited within the established curtilage of the existing dwelling and it has not been shown that the alternative position nearby would result in demonstrable landscape, heritage, access or amenity benefits;
  - the overall size of the proposed replacement dwelling would have a visual impact significantly greater than the existing building;
- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY13 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that:

the proposed building is a prominent feature in the landscape;

the proposed site lacks long established natural boundaries/is unable to provide a suitable degree of enclosure for the building to integrate into the landscape;

the proposed building relies primarily on the use of new landscaping for integration;

the ancillary works do not integrate with their surroundings;

the proposed building fails to blend with the landform, existing trees, buildings, slopes and other natural features which provide a backdrop;

and therefore would not visually integrate into the surrounding landscape.

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY14 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that:

the (building) would, if permitted, be unduly prominent in the landscape;

the impact of ancillary works would damage rural character;

and would therefore result in a detrimental change to (further erode) the rural character of the countryside.

### PLANNING (NI) ORDER 1991

#### **APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION**

ITEM NO	16					
APPLIC NO	LA07/2016/0276	/F	Full	DATE VA	LID 2/29/	′16
COUNCIL OPINION	APPROVAL					
APPLICANT	Frank Hughes Bo The Mall Newry BT34 1BB	ookmakers 1	4	AGENT	Arch Gree New	hunt Laverty itecture 79 nan Road ry 4 2PT
					028 41	77 2220
LOCATION	14-15 The Mall Newry Co. Down BT34 1BB					
PROPOSAL	Change of use of v Construction of rea External refurbishm Internal alterations	r extension in nent including	corporating new s new roof, externa			nopfronts.
REPRESENTATIONS	<b>OBJ Letters</b>	SUP Letter	s OBJ P	etitions	SUP P	etitions
	0	0	(	0		0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

ITEM NO	17				
APPLIC NO	LA07/2016/042	21/0	Outline	DATE VAL	<b>ID</b> 4/4/16
COUNCIL OPINION	REFUSAL				
APPLICANT	Fiona Doyle 2 Meadows Warrenpoint BT34 3SU	23 Spring		AGENT	Bernard Dinsmore Chartered Architect 24a Duke Street Warrenpoint BT34 3JY
					028 4175 3698
LOCATION	Site adjacent to a Mayobridge Newry Co. Down	and west of No. 25 T	amnaharry Hi	ll Road	
PROPOSAL	Proposed infill dw	velling and detached	d garage		
REPRESENTATIONS	OBJ Letters	SUP Letters	OBJ Pe	titions	SUP Petitions
	0	0	0	)	0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses Signatures

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY8 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the proposal would, if permitted, result in the addition of ribbon development along Tamnaharry Hill Road.

0

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY14 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that:

the building would, if permitted result in a suburban style build-up of development when viewed with existing and approved buildings;

the (building) would, if permitted create or add to a ribbon of development;

and would therefore result in a detrimental change to the rural character of the countryside.

3

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY1 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that it does not meet the policy criteria of CTY8;

#### PLANNING (NI) ORDER 1991

#### APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

ITEM NO 18

**APPLIC NO** P/2014/1041/O Outline **DATE VALID** 12/18/14

COUNCIL OPINION REFUSAL

APPLICANT Matthew Mallon 30 Edentrumly AGENT Quinn Design &

Road Engineering
Mayobridge Services 36
Newry Corrags Road
Burren
Warrenpoint

BT34 3PY 028 41 772377

**LOCATION** 20 metres north east of no 30a Edentrumly Road

Mayobridge

Newry (lands adjacent and north and north-east of No. 30A Edentrumly Road)

**PROPOSAL** Site for dwelling and detached garage

REPRESENTATIONS OBJ Letters SUP Letters OBJ Petitions SUP Petitions
0 0 0 0 0

Addresses Signatures Addresses Signatures

- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY1 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that there are no overriding reasons why this development is essential in this rural location and could not be located within a settlement.
- The proposal is contrary to Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland (SPPS), Policies CTY1 and CTY10 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside and does not merit being considered as an exceptional case in that it has not been demonstrated that:
  - other dwellings/development opportunities have not been sold off from the farm holding within 10 years of the date of the application;
  - the proposed new building is visually linked or sited to cluster with an established group of buildings on the farm and access to the dwelling is not obtained from an existing lane.
  - health and safety reasons exist to justify an alterative site not visually linked or sited to cluster with an established group of buildings on the farm

verifiable plans exist to expand the farm business at the existing building group to justify an alternative site not visually linked or sited to cluster with an established group of buildings on the farm.

#### **PLANNING (NI) ORDER 1991**

#### APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

- 3 3. The proposal is contrary to Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland (SPPS) and Policy CTY13 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that the proposed building is a prominent feature in the landscape; lacks long established natural boundaries and is unable to provide a suitable degree of enclosure for the building to integrate into the landscape; relying primarily on the use of new landscaping for integration; ancillary works will not integrate with their surroundings and the proposed dwelling is not visually linked or sited to cluster with an established group of buildings on the farm and therefore would not visually integrate into the surrounding landscape.
- 4. The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY14 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the building would, if permitted, be unduly prominent in the landscape; result in a suburban style build-up of development when viewed with existing buildings; create a ribbon of development; the impact of ancillary works would damage rural character and would therefore result in a detrimental change to and further erode the rural character of the countryside.
- 5. The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY8 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the proposal would, if permitted, result in the creation of ribbon development along Edentrumley Road

**ITEM NO** 19 APPLIC NO R/2013/0217/F Full **DATE VALID** 5/9/13 **COUNCIL OPINION REFUSAL** APPLICANT Mr Tony Steel **AGENT** Marcus Bingham 9 Tullyquilly Road Rathfriland BT34 5LR 02840638842 LOCATION 120m East Of No 18 Moneylane Road Dundrum BT33 ONR. **PROPOSAL** Erection of agricultural shed (Amended Address). **SUP Letters** REPRESENTATIONS **OBJ Letters OBJ Petitions SUP Petitions** 1 Addresses Signatures Addresses Signatures 0

- The proposal is contrary to the Strategic Planning Policy Statement and Policy CTY12 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that it has not been demonstrated that the agricultural holding is currently active and established.
- The proposal is contrary to the Strategic Planning Policy Statement and Policy CTY12 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that it has not been demonstrated that the building is necessary for the efficient use of the agricultural holding.
- The proposal is contrary to the Strategic Planning Policy Statement and Policy CTY12 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that it has not been demonstrated that
  - there are no suitable existing buildings on the holding or enterprise that can be used and
  - the proposal is sited beside existing farm or forestry buildings.

ITEM NO	20					
APPLIC NO	R/2013/0441/O		Outline	DATE VA	L <b>ID</b> 10/9/	13
<b>COUNCIL OPINION</b>	REFUSAL					
APPLICANT	Mr C Laverty an O'Higgins 17 B Kilcoo Newry BT34 5HU	d Miss R allymoney Road		AGENT	11 Ba Ardr Dow	Consultancy allyalton Park neen npatrick 0 7BT
					077680	57822
LOCATION	50m North East of Kilcoo Newry BT34 5HU.	No 19 and 60m N	orth of No 17	Ballymoney F	Road	
PROPOSAL	Proposed new dwe	elling on a farm un	der policy CT	Y10 of PPS2	1.	
REPRESENTATIONS	<b>OBJ Letters</b>	SUP Letters	OBJ Pe	etitions	SUP P	etitions
	0	0	(	)	(	)
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

The proposal is contrary to the SPPS 2015 and Policies CTY1 and CTY10 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside and does not merit being considered as an exceptional case in that it has not been demonstrated that the farm business is currently active and has been established for at least six years.

ITEM NO	21					
APPLIC NO	R/2014/0178/O		Outline	DATE VAI	L <b>ID</b> 4/3/1	4
<b>COUNCIL OPINION</b>	REFUSAL					
APPLICANT	Mr PJ McKeowr Loughinisland R Loughinisland Downpatrick BT30 8JL			AGENT	Archi Buck Dow	n Walker tect 51 shead Road npatrick 0 8JR
					077380	54941
LOCATION	Site approx 120m Loughinisland Downpatrick BT30 8JL.	South of No 108 L	oughinisland F	Road		
PROPOSAL	Proposed single st CTY6.	orey dwelling for d	lisabled occup	ant in accord	lance with PP	S21
REPRESENTATIONS	<b>OBJ Letters</b>	SUP Letters	OBJ Pe	etitions	SUP P	etitions
	0	0	C	)	(	)
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

The proposal is contrary to the SPPS 2015 and Policy CTY6 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the applicant has not provided satisfactory long term evidence that a new dwelling is a necessary response to the particular circumstances of the case and that genuine hardship would be caused if planning permission were refused and it has not been demonstrated that there are no alternative solutions to meet the particular circumstances of this case.

ITEM NO 22

**APPLIC NO** R/2014/0442/O Outline **DATE VALID** 8/18/14

COUNCIL OPINION REFUSAL

APPLICANT John Breen 1 Laurel Close AGENT

Darragh Cross Saintfield BT24 7PN

NA

**LOCATION** Rear of 25 Killybawn Road Crossgar

PROPOSAL Proposed dwelling on a farm

REPRESENTATIONS OBJ Letters SUP Letters OBJ Petitions SUP Petitions
1 0 0 0

Addresses Signatures Addresses Signatures 0 0 0 0

- The proposal is contrary to the SPPS 2015 and Policies CTY1 and CTY10 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside and does not merit being considered as an exceptional case in that it has not been demonstrated that the equestrian business is currently active and has been established for at least six years and is visually linked or sited to cluster with an established group of buildings on the holding.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY13 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the proposed dwelling is not visually linked or sited to cluster with an established group of buildings on a farm.
- The proposal is contrary to Planning Policy Statement 3, Access, Movement and Parking, Policy AMP 2, in that it would, if permitted, prejudice the safety and convenience of road users since visibility splays of 2.0metres x 60 metres from the proposed access cannot be provided in accordance with the standards contained in the Department's Development Control Advice Note 15.
- The proposal is contrary to Planning Policy Statement 3, Access, Movement and Parking, Policy AMP 2, in that it would, if permitted, prejudice the safety and convenience of road users since the (width) of the existing access renders it unacceptable for intensification of use and is not in accordance with the standards contained in the Department's Development Control Advice Note 15.

ITEM NO	23								
APPLIC NO	R/2014/0575/F		Full	DATE VAI	<b>₋ID</b> 10/24	1/1/1			
COUNCIL OPINION	APPROVAL		i uii	DAIL VAL	10/2	T/ 1 T			
APPLICANT	Maguire Hotels Ltd Lodge Hotel 5 Drumaness Road Ballynahinch BT12 6EQ			AGENT	Cons				
					003531	478500			
LOCATION	Millbrook Lodge Hote 5 Drumaness Road Ballynahinch BT12 6EQ	I							
PROPOSAL	including demolition of & storage buildings, r construction of new onew spa building including closing	Demolitons, Alterations, Extension and New Buildings at Millbrook Lodge Hotel including demolition of existing staff quarters, Staff rooms, toilet areas & storage areas & storage buildings, modifications to existing ground and first floor areas of hotel and construction of new conference/function facility, lounge bars, 28 No. hotel bedrooms & new spa building including all necessary consequent alterations & site developments works including closing off existing hotel entrance to vehicles and crating revised access location and associated sightlines onto Drumaness Road.							
REPRESENTATIONS	<b>OBJ Letters</b>	SUP Letters	OBJ Pe	titions	SUP P	etitions			
	0	0	0			0			
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures			
			0	0	0	0			

**ITEM NO** 24 **APPLIC NO** R/2014/0576/F Full DATE VALID 10/27/14 **COUNCIL OPINION** REFUSAL APPLICANT Mr D Orr C/O Agent **AGENT** G T Design 10 Comber Road Carryduff BT8 8AN NA

**LOCATION** West of 109 Barnamaghery Road

Crossgar

PROPOSAL Erection of wintering shed for livestock and retention of existing fodder storage shed

on part foundation of original shed on site.

REPRESENTATIONS OBJ Letters SUP Letters OBJ Petitions SUP Petitions
0 1 0 0

Addresses Signatures Addresses Signatures
0 0 0 0

- The proposal is contrary to the SPPS and Policies CTY1 and CTY12 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the existing agricultural is not currently active and established.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY12 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that it has not been demonstrated that the sheds are necessary for the efficient use of the active and established agricultural holding.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY12 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the development, if permitted, would result in a detrimental impact on the amenity of residential dwellings outside the holding or enterprise by reason of potential noise/ smell /pollution etc.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY12 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the farm business used for the purposes of this application is located at lands at Thornyhill Road and it has not been demonstrated that it is necessary to locate a building associated with this business away from the related lands.
- The proposal is contrary to policy CTY12 of Planning Policy Statement 21 Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that it has not been demonstrated that there are no alternative sites available at another group of buildings on the holding and that health and safety reasons exist to justify an alternative site away from the existing farm buildings.

**ITEM NO** 25 **APPLIC NO** R/2014/0660/A

Advertiseme **DATE VALID** 

12/12/14

**COUNCIL OPINION** 

**APPLICANT** 

**REFUSAL** 

Newcastle

Mr M Judge 7 Marguerite Close

**AGENT** 

Michael Smith

**Building Design** 139 Ballydugan

Road

Downpatrick **BT30 8HE** 

NA

**LOCATION** 

43 High Street

Ballynahinch

**PROPOSAL** 

Shop sign

**REPRESENTATIONS** 

**OBJ Letters** 0

**SUP Letters** 

**OBJ Petitions** 0

**SUP Petitions** 

0

0

Addresses Signatures Addresses Signatures

The retrospective shop sign relates to an unauthorised use and therefore cannot be granted 1 consent.

**ITEM NO** 26 **APPLIC NO** R/2015/0093/F Full **DATE VALID** 3/19/15 **COUNCIL OPINION REFUSAL** APPLICANT Kennedys Direct Catch Ltd C/O **AGENT** Hillen Architect Ltd. Agent 87 Central Promenade Newcastle **BT33 0HH** LOCATION 21 Enterprise Avenue Down Business Park Belfast Road Downpatrick **PROPOSAL** Proposed Fitness Facility and Associated Parking **OBJ Petitions** REPRESENTATIONS **OBJ Letters SUP Letters SUP Petitions** 0 0 0 0 Addresses Signatures Addresses Signatures 0

- The proposal is contrary to the Department's Planning Policy Statement 4, Industrial Development and SPPS in that the development would, if permitted, be incompatible with the existing land use identified as existing industry.
- The proposal is contrary to the Department's Planning Policy Statement 4, Industrial Development, SPPS and the Ards and Down Area Plan 2015 in that the site is located on land zoned for industrial use which should be retained to meet further demand.
- The proposal is contrary to the Department's Planning Policy Statement 4, Industrial Development, SPPS and the Ards and Down Area Plan 2015 in that the existing industrial land is a valuable resource with the potential to accommodate employment opportunities for the local area and should therefore be retained in its present use.

Council Newry, Mourne and Down		Date 8/3/16				
ITEM NO	D1					
APPLIC NO	P/2012/0712/F		Full	DATE VAL	<b>_ID</b> 9/4/1	2
COUNCIL OPINION	REFUSAL					
APPLICANT	Brendan Carraghe Road Silverbridge Newry BT35 9PQ	er 24 New		AGENT	B.Sc Char Engii Roac Silve New	erbridge rry 5 9NB
LOCATION	24 New Road Silverbridge Newry BT35 9PQ					
PROPOSAL	Extension to Tyre De	epot				
REPRESENTATIONS	<b>OBJ Letters</b>	SUP Letter	rs OBJ Pe	etitions	SUP P	etitions
	0	0	(	)		0
			Addresses	Signatures	Addresses	Signatures
			0	0	0	0

- The proposal is contrary to Policy PED 2 of the Department's Planning Policy Statement 4, Planning and Economic Development, in that the proposal does not meet any of the exceptions for economic development uses in the countryside.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy PED 9 of the Department's Planning Policy Statement 4, Planning and Economic Development, in that is has not been demonstrated that the proposal;
  - is compatible with the surrounding land uses;
  - appropriate boundary treatment and means of enclosure are provided and any areas of outside storage proposed are adequately screened from public view; and
  - that there are satisfactory measures to assist integration into the landscape.
- The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY11 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that:
  - the applicant has not demonstrated that it is to be run in conjunction with the agricultural operations on the farm;
  - the farm (forestry) business is not currently active and established;
  - the character and scale of the development is not appropriate to its location;

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY13 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that:

the proposed building is a prominent feature in the landscape;

the proposed site lacks long established natural boundaries/is unable to provide a suitable degree of enclosure for the building to integrate into the landscape;

the proposed building relies primarily on the use of new landscaping for integration;

the ancillary works do not integrate with their surroundings;

the proposed building fails to blend with the landform, existing trees, buildings, slopes and other natural features which provide a backdrop

and therefore would not visually integrate into the surrounding landscape.

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY14 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that:

the building would, if permitted, be unduly prominent in the landscape;

the impact of ancillary works would damage rural character;

and would therefore result in a detrimental change to (further erode) the rural character of the countryside.

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY1 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that there are no overriding reasons why this development is essential in this rural location and could not be located within a settlement.