



**PERMITTED  
DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS  
FOR MICROGENERATION  
DEVELOPMENT  
CONSULTATION PAPER  
RESPONSE FORM**

Once you have completed this form please  
return to

Policy and Legislation Branch  
3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Millennium House  
Great Victoria STREET  
Belfast  
BT2 7BN

by fax (marked 'Planning Microgeneration PD  
Consultation Response') to:  
028 9041 6960

Or by e-mail to:  
[Planning.microgenpd@doeni.gov.uk](mailto:Planning.microgenpd@doeni.gov.uk)

All responses should be submitted to the  
Department no later than 22<sup>nd</sup> January 2010



Department of the  
**Environment**  
[www.doeni.gov.uk](http://www.doeni.gov.uk)



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

## RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Please Note that this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately.

In keeping with our policy on openness, the Department will make responses to this consultation paper publically available. When publishing responses received on behalf of organisations the Department will also publish the organisation's name and address. When publishing responses received on behalf of individuals the Department will not publish details of the individual's name and address.

### 1. Name/Organisation

Organisation Name

Northern Ireland Manufacturing

Title

Mr  Ms  Mrs  Miss  Dr  *Please tick as appropriate*

Surname

[REDACTED]

Forename

[REDACTED]

### 2. Postal Address

[REDACTED]

Postcode:

[REDACTED]

Phone:

[REDACTED]

Email:

[REDACTED]

### 3. Are you responding:

As an individual

On behalf of a group / organisation

**4. Which of the following best describes the capacity in which you are responding:**

Developer

Agent/Architect

Business

Member of Public

Environment Group

Council / Councillor

MLA, MP, MEP

Other  Please state:

Trade Association representing manufacturers in Northern Ireland
--

**5. Acknowledgment**

Individual responses will not be acknowledged unless specifically requested

# **NON DOMESTIC MICROGENERATION**

## **Introduction**

### **Question 1**

Do you agree that the GDO should be amended to provide permitted development rights for microgeneration equipment in non-domestic land uses?

Yes  No

### **Question 2**

Should permitted development rights for non-domestic microgeneration be greater than those proposed for dwellinghouses where this can be achieved without increasing the risk of adverse impacts?

Yes  No

### **Question 3**

Should permitted development rights for microgeneration associated with non-domestic land uses be provided for in a separate part within Schedule 1 of the GDO from the existing permitted development rights for those land uses?

Yes  No

## **General limits and conditions to permitted development**

### **Question 4**

Do you agree that permitted development in non-domestic land uses should be for microgeneration development that primarily provides heat or energy for use within the curtilage of the non-domestic building or on the agricultural unit?

Yes  No

### **Question 5**

Do you agree that, subject to the maximum height and area restrictions set out in the Review, permitted development for solar panels or wind turbines erected by undertakers referred to in Part 13 of the GDO or by the Roads Service to meet the energy needs of a range of equipment, systems and other uses permitted under Part 13 of the GDO or by the Roads Service should be allowed, provided it is only to meet the needs of the equipment, systems and other uses with which it is associated?

Yes  No

### **Question 6**

Do you agree that a condition of all permitted development for microgeneration devices in non-domestic land uses should be that they be removed as soon as reasonably practicable after they are no longer in use and the land or building restored to its condition before the development took place?

Yes  No

## **Solar Panels**

### **Question 7**

Do you agree that permitted development for solar panels fitted to a pitched roof should be limited to the existing roof area?

Yes  No

**solar panels should not extend more than 50cm beyond the existing roof area**

### **Question 8**

Do you agree that permitted development for solar panels fitted to a pitched roof should be limited to the maximum height of the existing roof?

Yes  No

**panels should not be more than 50cm higher than the existing roof**

### **Question 9**

Do you agree that permitted development for solar panels on a pitched roof plane that faces onto and is visible from a road should not extend more than 20cm above the plane of the existing roof slope?

Yes  No

### **Question 10**

Do you agree that permitted development for solar panels on a flat roof should not exceed a height of 2m from the roof plane on which they are mounted?

Yes  No

**to allow for the framework etc supporting the panel this should be extended to 2.5m**

**Question 11**

Do you agree that solar panels permitted on a flat roof should be located at least 2m from the edge of the roof of the building on which they are mounted?

Yes  No

**As panels are inclined backwards there is no need to located the front edge of the panel 2m from the edge of the roof as they will fall backwards if dislodged. The rear edge of the panels however should be located 2m from the edge.**

**Question 12**

Should permitted development rights for roof mounted solar panels be removed in AONBs and National Parks where the roof slope faces onto and is visible from that road?; or

Yes  No

**Question 13**

Should permitted development rights for roof mounted solar panels be removed in special countryside policy areas where the roof slope faces onto and is visible from that road?; or

Yes  No

**Question 14**

Should permitted development rights for roof mounted solar panels in AONBs and National Parks (including special countryside policy areas) be subject to the same limits that apply outside these areas?

Yes  No

**Question 15**

Should permitted development rights for roof mounted solar panels in Conservation Areas be removed where the roof slope to which they are fitted faces onto and is visible from that road?; or

Yes  No

**Question 16**

Should permitted development rights for roof mounted solar panels in Conservation Areas be subject to the same limits that apply outside these areas?

Yes  No

**Question 17**

Should permitted development rights for roof mounted solar panels in a World Heritage Site be removed where the roof slope to which they are fitted faces onto and is visible from that road?; or

Yes  No

**Question 18**

Should permitted development rights for roof mounted solar panels in a World Heritage Site be subject to the same limits that apply outside that area?

Yes  No

**Question 19**

Should there be any additional restrictions to permitted development rights for roof mounted solar panels in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest?

Yes  No

**Question 20**

Should permitted development rights for roof mounted solar panels within the curtilage of a Listed Building only be removed where Listed Building Consent has not previously been granted?

Yes  No

**Question 21**

Should permitted development rights for solar panels fitted to a wall limit them to the boundaries of the existing wall area?; or

Yes  No

**Question 22**

If you do not agree that permitted development rights for solar panels fitted to a wall should be limited by the existing wall area do you think that there should be an area limit to wall mounted panels and, if so, what area should this be?

**As in Q7/8 panels should not extend more than 50cm beyond the mounted area**

**Question 23**

Should permitted development rights for solar panels fitted to a wall within 3 metres of the boundary of the curtilage and extending above 4 metres in height be restricted to protrude no more than 20cm from the plane of the wall?

Yes  No

**This proposal is likely to limit the provision of panels fitted with any form of sun tracking**

**Question 24**

Should permitted development rights for wall mounted solar panels be removed in AONBs, National Parks, Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites where they face onto and are visible from a road?

Yes  No

**Question 25**

Do you agree that permitted development rights for wall mounted solar panels in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest should not be subject to additional restrictions?

Yes  No

**Question 26**

Should permitted development rights for wall mounted solar panels within the curtilage of a Listed Building only be removed where Listed Building Consent has not previously been granted?

Yes  No

**Question 27**

Should permitted development rights for free standing solar panels restrict them to a minimum of 5m from the building curtilage and from any road bounding the curtilage?

Yes  No

**Question 28**

Should permitted development rights for free standing solar panels restrict them to a maximum of 2m above ground level? If not, what height do you believe is appropriate and for what reasons?

Yes  No

**Once again this should be extend to 2.5m to allow for frameworks, foundations etc**

**Question 29**

Should the permitted development rights for free standing solar panels allow a maximum surface area of 20m<sup>2</sup> within the curtilage of a building?

Yes  No

**20m2 is unduly restrictive - why is there any need for a limit in a non-domestic location - if we are serious about carbon reduction every available area should be utilised**

**Question 30**

If you consider a greater area should be allowed, please state what area and the supporting reasons.

**20m2 is unduly restrictive - why is there any need for a limit in a non-domestic location - if we are serious about carbon reduction every available area should be utilised**

**Question 31**

Do you agree that the proposed restrictions on permitted development rights for free standing solar panels are reasonable in addressing safety issues beyond the host property?

Yes  No

**2m is unduly restrictive in terms of framework foundations etc - safety issues can be addressed through approval of framework/product approval**

**Question 32**

Should permitted development rights for free standing solar panels be removed in AONBs, National Parks, Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites where the installation faces onto a road and is visible from that road?

Yes  No

**Question 33**

Should permitted development rights for free standing solar panels be removed in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest?

Yes  No

**Question 34**

Should permitted development rights for free standing solar panels within the curtilage of a Listed Building only be removed where Listed Building Consent has not previously been granted?

Yes  No

## **Wind Turbines**

### **Question 35**

Should permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines allow them to be a maximum of 3m above the highest point of the roof?

Yes  No

**While this limit may be appropriate for domestic locations it is unduly restrictive in an industrial setting.**

### **Question 36**

Should permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines be for a maximum blade diameter of 2.5m?

Yes  No

**Once again this is unduly restrictive in a commercial setting**

### **Question 37**

Should permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines with a vertical axis be for a maximum swept area of 5m<sup>2</sup>?

Yes  No

**Once again this is unduly restrictive in a commercial setting**

**Question 38**

Should permitted development rights allow one building mounted wind turbine within the curtilage of a non-domestic building?

Yes  No

**Question 39**

If you consider more than one should be allowed, please state how many and the supporting reasons.

**Question 40**

If you consider that permitted development rights should allow more than two wind turbines please explain why you consider that the environmental effects are not significant and that the threshold in Schedule 2 to the EIA Regulations should be amended.

**Question 41**

Should permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines be deferred until noise and air safety issues can be satisfactorily addressed?

Yes  No

**This should only apply to turbines spinning on a horizontal axis - turbines on a vertical axis have much lower levels of vibration, visual impact and noise**

**Question 42**

Do you agree that a permitted development regime for building mounted wind turbines should not entail the user having to employ a technical expert to carry out a noise survey either before such rights are exercised or to prove compliance?

Yes  No

**This should only apply to turbines spinning on a horizontal axis - turbines on a vertical axis have much lower levels of vibration, visual impact and noise**

**Question 43**

Do you agree that it is a matter for installers and manufacturers to ensure that there is no negative impact associated with vibration that may be caused by building mounted wind turbines?

Yes  No

**The Department should however emphasise the importance of a structural engineers report in this context**

**Question 44**

If you do not agree that impacts associated with vibration should be addressed by installers and manufacturers how do you believe they should be addressed?

**Question 45**

Should permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines be removed where they extend above public open space, roads and footpaths?

Yes  No

**Question 46**

Should permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines be deferred until a UK scheme has been developed that satisfactorily addresses the issue of radar safety?

Yes  No

**There are no known radar issues - this will only result in deferring the introduction of wind generated microgeneration with a consequential impact on carbon reduction**

**Question 47**

Do you agree that there is currently no need to condition permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines in terms of their potential to induce seizures?

Yes  No

**Question 48**

Should the potential impact of building mounted wind turbines on telecommunications systems be addressed through the publication of guidance material on the best way to site wind turbines to reduce the risk of electromagnetic interference?

Yes  No

**This should be addressed through the publication of a code of practice for installers.**

**Question 49**

Should the potential impact of building mounted wind turbines on birds and bats be addressed through the publication of guidance material on the best way to site wind turbines to minimise that impact?

Yes  No

**This should be addressed through the publication of a code of practice for installers.**

**Question 50**

Should permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines be removed in AONBs, National Parks, Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites where the turbine is mounted on a roof or wall that faces onto a road and is visible from that road?

Yes  No

**This is unduly restrictive.**

**Question 51**

Should permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines be removed in ASSIs as a precautionary measure to protect bats and birds?

Yes  No

**This should be addressed through the publication of a code of practice for installers**

**Question 52**

Should permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines within the curtilage of a Listed Building only be removed where Listed Building Consent has not previously been granted?

Yes  No

**Question 53**

Subject to the further limits outlined later in this paper, should permitted development rights for free standing wind turbines in non-domestic land uses should be allowed up to a maximum height of 15m above ground level?

Yes  No

**Question 54**

Should permitted development rights for free standing horizontal axis wind turbines allow a blade diameter of up to 6m?

Yes  No

**Question 55**

If you consider a different blade diameter is appropriate, what is it and please state your supporting reasons.

**Question 56**

Should permitted development rights for free standing wind turbines with a vertical axis allow a maximum swept area of 28m<sup>2</sup>?

Yes  No

**Question 57**

Should permitted development rights for free standing wind turbines require that they are located a minimum of 17m from a neighbouring building curtilage or road?

Yes  No

**this should be related to the NCS results for noise and safety on the particular equipment installed**

**Question 58**

Should permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines be deferred until a UK scheme has been developed that satisfactorily addresses the issue of radar safety?

Yes  No

**Question 59**

Do you agree that there is currently no need to condition permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines in terms of their potential to induce seizures?

Yes  No

**Question 60**

Do you agree that the risk to members of the public outside the curtilage posed by wind turbines falling over is sufficiently addressed by the requirement for them to be located a minimum of 17m from a neighbouring building curtilage or road?

Yes  No

**Any risk can be significantly reduced by requiring NCS accreditation for the equipment installed.**

**Question 61**

Should permitted development rights require that the blade tips of a free standing wind turbine must be a minimum of 5m above ground level?

Yes  No

**Question 62**

Should permitted development rights for free standing wind turbines allow only one turbine within a building curtilage or on an agricultural unit?

Yes  No

**Question 63**

Do you agree that a separation distance of 34m between free standing wind turbines on neighbouring properties is sufficient to address cumulative visual impact?

Yes  No

**17m should be sufficient on the basis that any collapse of adjacent turbines should occur in the same plane. This provision has the potential to lead to conflict between owners of adjacent small properties.**

**Question 64**

If you consider that a greater separation distance than 34m between free standing wind turbines on neighbouring properties is required, what is it and please state your supporting reasons?

**Question 65**

Should the potential impact of free standing wind turbines on telecommunications systems be addressed through the publication of guidance material on the best way to site wind turbines to reduce the risk of electromagnetic interference?

Yes  No

**This should be addressed through the publication of a code of practice.**

**Question 66**

Should the potential impact of free standing wind turbines on birds and bats be addressed through the publication of guidance material on the best way to site wind turbines to minimise that impact?

Yes  No

**Question 67**

Should permitted development rights for free standing wind turbines be deferred until noise issues can be satisfactorily addressed?

Yes  No

**Provided that the equipment is accredited by NCS this should not be necessary.**

**Question 68**

Should permitted development rights for free standing wind turbines in AONBs and National Parks be required to be located within 50m of the nearest building which will utilise the electricity produced?

Yes  No

**Question 69**

Should permitted development for free standing wind turbines be removed in Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites?

Yes  No

**Question 70**

Should permitted development rights for free standing wind turbines be removed in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest?

Yes  No

**Question 71**

Should permitted development rights for free standing wind turbines within the curtilage of a Listed Building be removed?

Yes  No

## **Hydro**

### **Question 72**

Do you agree that there should be no permitted development rights introduced for in-stream works associated with hydro microgeneration in non-domestic land uses?

Yes  No

### **Question 73**

Should the provision of a new or replacement turbine house for an existing hydro microgeneration scheme be permitted development provided it is a maximum of 3m in height, has a maximum floor area of 10m<sup>2</sup> is located at least 5m from the building curtilage and not nearer to any road which bounds the curtilage than the part of the existing building nearest to that road?

Yes  No

### **Question 74**

Do you agree that no further restrictions on the permitted development rights for new or replacement turbine houses are necessary in AONBs and National Parks?

Yes  No

**Question 75**

Should permitted development rights for new or replacement turbine houses be removed in Conservation Areas, World Heritage Sites, ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest?

Yes  No

**Question 76**

Should permitted development rights for new or replacement turbine houses associated with existing hydro schemes within the curtilage of a Listed Building only be removed where Listed Building Consent has not previously been granted?

Yes  No

**Biomass and combined heat and power plants**

**Question 77**

Should a new building or extension to house a biomass or CHP boiler be permitted development provided the new building or extension has a maximum floorspace of 10m<sup>2</sup> and a maximum height of 3m?

Yes  No

**Question 78**

Should a new building or extension to house a biomass or CHP boiler for non-domestic buildings with a floor area of 1,000m<sup>2</sup> or more be permitted development provided the new building or extension has a maximum floorspace of 75m<sup>2</sup> and maximum height of 3m?

Yes  No

**Question 79**

Should permitted development rights for a new building or extension to house a biomass or CHP boiler require that the development is a minimum distance of 5m from any boundary of the building curtilage and not nearer to any road which bounds the curtilage than the part of the existing building nearest to that road.

Yes  No

**Question 80**

Should it be a condition of permitted development rights for the provision of a biomass boiler for non-domestic use that the fuel must not include products derived from animal wastes or wood containing dangerous substances?

Yes  No

**Question 81**

Do you agree that noise impacts from biomass and CHP boilers is a low risk and that this risk is minimised by other restrictions upon permitted development rights for boiler houses?

Yes  No

**Question 82**

Should a new building or extension to an existing building to house fuel for a biomass boiler be permitted development provided the new building or extension has a maximum floorspace of 10m<sup>2</sup> and maximum height of 3m?

Yes  No

**Question 83**

Should a new building or extension to an existing building to house fuel for a biomass boiler for non-domestic buildings with a floor area of 1,000m<sup>2</sup> or more be permitted development provided the new building or extension has a maximum floorspace of 75m<sup>2</sup> and maximum height of 3m?

Yes  No

**Question 84**

Should permitted development rights for a new building or extension to an existing building to house fuel for a biomass boiler for non-domestic buildings be restricted to those located a minimum distance of 5m from any boundary of the building curtilage and not nearer to any road which bounds the curtilage than the part of the existing building nearest to that road?

Yes  No

**Question 85**

Should permitted development rights for a new building or extension only apply to the first biomass/CHP boiler house and the first fuel biomass fuel store erected under permitted development rights within the curtilage of a building?

Yes  No

**Question 86**

Should permitted development rights for the installation of a flue for a biomass or CHP system be allowed provided the height of the flue does not exceed 1m above the highest part of the existing roof?

Yes  No

**Question 87**

Should permitted development rights for the replacement or alteration of an existing flue to allow it to be utilised by a biomass or CHP system be allowed provided the replaced or altered flue is located in the same place as the existing flue and is of dimensions that do not exceed the existing flue?

Yes  No

**Question 88**

For biomass or CHP systems in industrial buildings do you consider the above proposals for permitted development could be replaced by permitted development rights for flues up to a maximum height of 15m above ground level without greatly increasing visual impacts?

Yes  No

**Question 89**

Do you agree that there should be no additional restrictions on permitted development rights for biomass and CHP boiler houses, fuel stores and flues within AONBs, National Parks, Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites?

Yes  No

**Question 90**

If you do not agree, what additional restrictions do you consider would be appropriate and please state your supporting reasons?

**Question 91**

Should permitted development rights for biomass and CHP boiler houses and fuel stores be removed in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest?

Yes  No

**Question 92**

Should permitted development rights for a biomass or CHP boiler house, fuel store or flue only be removed where Listed Building Consent has not previously been granted?

Yes  No

**Question 93**

Should permitted development rights be provided for anaerobic digestion plants on an agricultural unit?

Yes  No

**Question 94**

If you agree that permitted development rights for anaerobic digestion plants should be provided should they be subject to similar restrictions to those for agricultural permitted development rights as outlined ?

**Question 95**

Should permitted development rights for anaerobic digestion plants be limited to those that use only materials generated on the agricultural unit on which the plant is located?

Yes  No

**Question 96**

Do you agree that permitted development rights for anaerobic digestion plants do not need to be further restricted in AONBs, National Parks, World Heritage Sites or Conservation Areas?

Yes  No

**Question 97**

Should permitted development rights for anaerobic digestion plants be removed in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest?

Yes  No

**Question 98**

Should permitted development rights for anaerobic digestion plants within the curtilage of a Listed Building only be removed where Listed Building Consent for the development has not previously been granted?

Yes  No

**Heat Pumps**

**Question 99**

Should permitted development rights be provided for ground source heat pumps in non-domestic land uses, subject to appropriate restrictions?

Yes  No

**Question 100**

Should permitted development rights for ground source heat pumps be restricted to those where the area of excavation does not exceed 0.5ha and the area of excavation is returned to its state prior to the development taking place?

Yes  No

**Question 101**

Should permitted development rights for above ground elements necessary for the operation of a ground source heat pump within the curtilage of a building with floorspace of less than 1000m<sup>2</sup> be restricted to buildings or extensions that do not exceed 3m in height and of a maximum area of 10m<sup>2</sup>?

Yes  No

**Question 102**

Should permitted development rights for above ground elements necessary for the operation of a ground source heat pump within the curtilage of a building with floorspace of 1000m<sup>2</sup> or more be restricted to buildings or extensions that do not exceed 3m in height and a maximum area of 75m<sup>2</sup>?

Yes  No

**Question 103**

Should the above ground elements necessary for the operation of a ground source heat pump be required to be located a minimum of 5m from the boundary of the building curtilage and from any road, and to be located not nearer to any road that bounds the curtilage than that part of the existing building nearest to that road?

Yes  No

**Question 104**

Do you agree that there should be no additional restrictions upon permitted development rights for ground source heat pumps within AONBs, National Parks, Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites?

Yes  No

**Question 105**

Should permitted development rights for ground source heat pumps be removed in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest?

Yes  No

**Question 106**

Should permitted development rights for above ground elements of a ground source heat pump within the curtilage of a Listed Building be removed only where Listed Building Consent for the development has not previously been granted?

Yes  No

**Question 107**

Should permitted development rights be provided for water source heat pumps in non-domestic land uses subject to appropriate restrictions?

Yes  No

**Question 108**

Should permitted development rights for water source heat pumps be restricted to those where the pipework does not exceed an area of 0.5ha?

Yes  No

**Question 109**

Should permitted development rights for above ground elements necessary for the operation of a water source heat pump within the curtilage of a building with floorspace of less than 1000m<sup>2</sup> be restricted to buildings or extensions that do not exceed 3m in height and an area of 10m<sup>2</sup>?

Yes  No

**Question 110**

Should permitted development rights for above ground elements necessary for the operation of a water source heat pump within the curtilage of a building with floorspace of 1000m<sup>2</sup> or more be restricted to buildings or extensions that do not exceed 3m in height and an area of 75m<sup>2</sup>?

Yes  No

**Question 111**

Should the above ground elements necessary for the operation of a water source heat pump be required to be located a minimum of 5m from the boundary of the building curtilage and from any road, and to be located not nearer to any road that bounds the curtilage than that part of the existing building nearest to that road?

Yes  No

**Question 112**

Do you agree that there should be no additional restrictions on permitted development rights for water source heat pumps within AONBs, National Parks, Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites?

Yes  No

**Question 113**

Should permitted development rights for water source heat pumps be removed in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest?

Yes  No

**Question 114**

Should permitted development rights for water source heat pumps within the curtilage of a Listed Building be removed only where Listed Building Consent for the development has not previously been granted?

Yes  No

**Question 115**

Should permitted development rights for air source heat pumps be deferred until noise issues can be satisfactorily addressed?

Yes  No

**Question 116**

Do you agree that a permitted development regime for air source heat pumps should not entail the user having to employ a technical expert to carry out a noise survey either before such rights are exercised or to prove compliance?

Yes  No

**Question 117**

Should permitted development rights for air source heat pumps for buildings with a floor area of less than 1,000m<sup>2</sup> be restricted to a maximum volume of 30m<sup>3</sup>?

Yes  No

**Question 118**

Should permitted development rights for air source heat pumps for buildings with a floor area of 1,000m<sup>2</sup> or more be restricted to a maximum volume of 75m<sup>3</sup> ?

Yes  No

**Question 119**

Should air source heat pumps be located a minimum distance of 5m from any boundary of the building curtilage and not nearer to any road which bounds the curtilage than the part of the existing building nearest to that road?

Yes  No

**Question 120**

Should permitted development rights for air source heat pumps require that they do not exceed the height of the existing building?

Yes  No

**Question 121**

Do you agree that there should be no additional restrictions on permitted development rights for air source heat pumps within AONBs or National Parks?

Yes  No

**Question 122**

Should permitted development rights for air source heat pumps within Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites be removed where any external element of the heat pump within the curtilage of the building is mounted on a wall that faces onto a road and is visible from that road?

Yes  No

**Question 123**

Should permitted development rights for air source heat pumps be removed in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest?

Yes  No

**Question 124**

Should permitted development rights for air source heat pumps within the curtilage of a Listed Building be removed only where Listed Building Consent for the development has not previously been granted?

Yes  No

# DOMESTIC MICROGENERATION

## Question 1

Should restriction on permitted development rights for roof mounted solar panels on a dwellinghouse in ASSIs be removed on the basis that such development would not prejudice the reason for ASSI designation?

Yes  No

## Question 2

Should restriction on permitted development rights for wall mounted solar panels on a dwellinghouse in ASSIs be removed on the basis that such development would not prejudice the reason for ASSI designation?

Yes  No

## Question 3

Should permitted development rights for free standing solar panels within the curtilage of a dwellinghouse in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest be removed?

Yes  No

**Question 4**

Should permitted development rights for biomass fuel containers within the curtilage of a dwellinghouse in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest be removed?

Yes  No

**Question 5**

Should permitted development rights for building mounted wind turbines on dwellinghouses in ASSIs be removed as a precautionary measure to protect bats and birds?

Yes  No

**Question 6**

Should permitted development rights for free standing wind turbines within the curtilage of a dwellinghouse in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest be removed?

Yes  No

**Question 7**

Should restrictions on permitted development rights for flues within the curtilage of a dwellinghouse in ASSIs be removed on the basis that such development would not prejudice the reason for ASSI designation?

Yes  No

**Question 8**

Should permitted development rights for ground source heat pumps within the curtilage of a dwellinghouse in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest be removed?

Yes  No

**Question 9**

Should permitted development rights for water source heat pumps within the curtilage of a dwellinghouse in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest be removed?

Yes  No

**Question 10**

Should permitted development rights for air source heat pumps within the curtilage of a dwellinghouse in ASSIs and Sites of Archaeological Interest be removed?

Yes  No